

EXHIBIT 4
Adoption of UDITPA and Apportionment Formulas

State	Adoption of UDITPA ¹	Apportionment Formula
Alabama	Formal adoption	3-factor
Alaska	Formal adoption	3-factor
Arizona	Formal adoption	60% sales, 20% property, 20% payroll ³
Arkansas	Partial formal adoption	3-factor with double-weighted sales
California	Formal adoption	3-factor with double-weighted sales ⁴
Colorado	Formal adoption	3-factor, or sales and property
Connecticut	No	Sales only ⁵
Delaware	No	3-factor
Florida	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Georgia	No	90% sales, 5% property, 5% payroll ⁶
Hawaii	Formal adoption	3-factor
Idaho	Formal adoption	3-factors with double-weighted sales
Illinois	Informal adoption ²	Sales
Indiana	Informal adoption.	60% sales, 20% property, 20% payroll ⁷
Iowa	No	Sales
Kansas	Formal adoption	3-factor
Kentucky	Formal adoption	Sales
Louisiana	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Maine	Formal adoption	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Maryland	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Massachusetts	No	Sales only for manufacturing/3-factor with double-weighted sales for others
Michigan	No	Sales ⁸
Minnesota	No	78% sales, 11% property, 11% payroll ⁹
Mississippi	No	Sales only for retail, rental, service, and merchandising/3-factor with sales
Missouri	Formal adoption	3-factor or sales
Montana	Formal adoption	3-factor
Nebraska	No	Sales
Nevada	N/A	N/A
New Hampshire	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
New Jersey	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales ¹⁰
New Mexico	Formal adoption	3-factor with double-weighted sales
New York	No	80% sales, 10% property, 10% payroll ¹¹
North Carolina	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
North Dakota	Formal adoption	3-factor
Ohio	No	60% sales, 20% property, 20% payroll
Oklahoma	No	3-factor
Oregon	Formal adoption	Sales
Pennsylvania	No	3-factor with triple-weighted sales
Rhode Island	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
South Carolina	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales ¹²
South Dakota	N/A	N/A
Tennessee	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Texas	N/A	Sales
Utah	Formal adoption	3-factor with double-weighted sale
Vermont	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Virginia	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Washington	N/A	N/A
Washington, D.C.	Partial informal adoption	3-factor
West Virginia	No	3-factor with double-weighted sales
Wisconsin	No	80% sales, 10% property, 10% payroll
Wyoming	N/A	N/A

- For information about the adoption of UDITPA for specific industries (i.e., airline, contractor, railroad, and trucking), refer to *All States Handbook 2006*, pp. 85–86.
- Although Illinois has not formally adopted UDITPA, its provisions are consistent with the Act (per Illinois Department of Revenue, 8/24/07).
- For taxable years beginning in 2008, the formula changes to 70% sales, 15% property, 15% payroll.
- California has twice unsuccessfully attempted to change to sales only. A bill is currently before the legislature to change to sales only.
- Sales only for manufacturers and broadcasters. Double-weight sales for others.
- In 2008, Georgia will have single sales factor.
- Indiana is phasing in single sales factor, to be complete in 2011.
- Apportionment under the new MBT is based on sales only. Under the recently repealed SBT, the formula was 92.5% sales, 3.75% property, 3.75% payroll.
- Minnesota is phasing in single sales factor, to be complete in 2013.
- A 3-factor formula is used for corporations not subject to the corporation business franchise tax.
- New York is phasing in single sales factor, to be complete in 2008.
- South Carolina taxpayers whose principal business in the state is manufacturing or sales, distribution, or dealership of tangible personal property use double-weighted sales factor. Others use sales.

Sources:

All States Tax Handbook 2007, New York: RIA
 Federation of Tax Administrators at www.taxadmin.org/fta/rate/corp_app.html
 Illinois Department of Revenue.
The Michigan Business Tax: A New Tax for a New Economy, State of Michigan, Office of the Governor.